

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/521,917	01/20/2005	Thomas Bechtold	05579-00338-US	6177	
23416 7590 11/15/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP P O BOX 2207 WILMINGTON, DE 19899			KHAN, A	KHAN, AMINA S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1751		
•		DATE MAILED: 11/15/2006			

.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ζ,	
0	

Office Action Summary 10/521.917	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Arrina Khan The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICH-LEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. WHICH-LEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If No period for reply is appelled above, the maximum statutory pariod will apply and will apply to be triefy find allowed for reply in a specified above, the maximum statutory pariod will apply and will apply 50 kit (%) MONTH from the mains grate of this communication. If NO period for reply is a specified above, the maximum statutory pariod will apply on St. (%) MONTH from the realing date of this communication. Any reply vessed by the from its fine the tree medials after the mailing date of this communication. Expert planet form adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 1/20/2005. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1/15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The application stop objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 11) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 12) □	_	10/521,917	BECHTOLD ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address—Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Excession of time may be avaising under the provisions of 3 CPR 1.1361. In one event, however, may reply be timering field of this communication. In one event, however, may reply be timering field of this communication. In one event, however, may reply be desired field for reply which the set or extended period for reply with by statibute, cause the application to be open EAMONOPED (50 U.S. € 13%). Any reply-excluded by the Communication of this communication, even if the provision of the communication. Period of this communication, even if the provision of the above claim(s)	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ***AND COMMUNICATION** ***IN Departs of treply is spedied solone, the maximum statutory period via gradual process of the process of th							
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Electrication of time may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1:30(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely field with the provision of 37 CFR 1:30(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely field with the provision of 37 CFR 1:30(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely field with the provision of 37 CFR 1:30(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely field with a policy of the provision of the p	• •	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
1)	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sea 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. Sea 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b Cortified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-882) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Discbasure Statement(s) (PTO-848) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	Status						
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosures Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Disposition of Claims						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	wn from consideration.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	Application Papers						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
a) \[All \] b) \[Some * c) \[None of: 1. \[Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. \[Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \[3. \] Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) \[Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/20/2005. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/20/2005. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/20/2005.	Attachment(s)						
	 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Pate				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-4,6 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bechtold et al. (WO 01/65000). Since WO 01/6500 is not in English, the US equivalent document, US 6,814,763, was used for citation purposes.

Bechtold et al. teach methods of dyeing cellulosic textiles with sulfur dyes wherein the dye is added to a dyebath in prereduced form and the portion of the dye that is reoxidized by air contact during the dyeing is reoxidized electrochemically (column 4, lines 25-65). Bechtold et al. further teach using electrolytic cells which are customary, specifically those with a cation exchange membrane (column 5, lines 10-45). Bechtold et al. further teach that sodium hydroxide is used as the anolyte (column 5, example 1). Bechtold et al. further teach that the electrolytic cell is coupled to a dyebath (column 1, lines 30-35). Bechtold et al. further teach that the cell potential controls the dyebath potential (column 5, lines 65-67; column 6, lines 1-2) and the dyeing was performed at 80°C (column 6, lines 1-13).

Application/Control Number: 10/521,917

Art Unit: 1751

Accordingly, Bechtold et al. anticipate the material limitations of the instant claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5,7 and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bechtold et al. (WO 01/65000) as applied to the claims above, and further in view of Marte et al. (WO 00/31334). Since WO 01/6500 and WO 00/31334 are not in English, the US equivalent documents, US 6,814,763 and US 6,627,063, were used for citation purposes, respectively.

Bechtold et al. are relied upon as set forth above. Bechtold et al. teach dyeing machines differ in the degree of air access they allow but any known dyeing process may be utilized (column 4, lines 66-67; column 5, lines 1-7). Bechtold et al. further teach the equivalence of dyeing with sulfur dyes and indigo dyes (column 4, lines 29-42).

Bechtold et al. does not teach dyeing in an inert atmosphere and is silent as to the concentration of the sulfur dyes.

Marte et al. teach dyeing with sulfur and vat dyes (column 2, lines 10-15; claim 1) in oxygen free electrolysis vessels (column 3, lines 25-27; column 5, lines 50-55) wherein the technique is suitable for initial dye stocks as well as dye baths (column 6,

Art Unit: 1751

lines 5-10). Marte et al. further teach indigo dye concentrations from 5g/L to 25g/L (column 9, lines 5-20).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the methods of Bechtold et al. by incorporating the oxygen free reaction vessels and dye concentrations taught by Marte et al. because Marte et al. teaches inert conditions and these concentration of dyes as effective in producing low cost, less pollution, dyeing methods with higher dye solubilities. While Marte et al. teach dve concentrations for indigo dves, the reference as a whole is directed towards vat and sulfur dyes, so it would be obvious to substitute sulfur dyes into the methods at concentrations similar to those of indigo. Furthermore, Bechtold et al. teach the equivalence of dyeing with sulfur or indigo dyes. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of the references absent unexpected results.

Claims 1,2 and 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable 5. over Marte et al. (WO 00/31334). Since WO 00/31334 is not in English, the US equivalent document, US 6,627,063, was used for citation purposes.

Marte et al. teach dyeing with sulfur and vat dyes (column 2, lines 10-15; claim 1) in oxygen free electrolysis vessels (column 3, lines 25-27; column 5, lines 50-55) wherein the technique is suitable for initial dye stocks as well as dye baths (column 6, lines 5-10). Marte et al. further teach dyeing cotton fabric with indigo dye concentrations from 5g/L and caustic soda at 40°C while excluding oxygen (column 7, lines 20-45).

Marte et al. do not teach all the instantly claimed embodiments in a single example.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the methods of Marte et al. by using sulfur dyes at the indigo dye concentrations because Marte et al. teaches the equivalence of sulfur and vat dyes as effective in producing low cost, less pollution, dyeing methods with higher dye solubilities. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the teachings of the reference absent unexpected results.

6. Claims 3 and 9-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marte et al. (WO 00/31334) as applied to the claims above, further in view of Bechtold et al. (WO 99/11716). Since WO 00/31334 and WO 99/11716 are not in English, the US equivalent documents, US 6,627,063 and US 6,312,583, were used for citation purposes, respectively.

Marte et al. were relied upon as set forth above.

Marte et al. do not teach membrane electrolytic cells.

Bechtold et al. teach reducing sulfur dyes in multi cathode cells divided by a cation exchange membrane for the purpose of producing reduced sulfur dyes with the benefit of not requiring chemical reduction agents during dyeing (column 2, lines 50-56; column 3, lines 10-20).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the methods taught by Marte et al. by incorporating the

Art Unit: 1751

membrane electrolytic cells taught by Bechtold et al. because Bechtold et al. teach the cells as effective in dyeing with reduced sulfur dyes. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of the references absent unexpected results.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amina Khan whose telephone number is (571) 272-5573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas McGinty can be reached on (571) 272-1029. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/521,917

Art Unit: 1751

amina Khan

Amina Khan, PhD Patent Examiner November 8, 2006 Lorm M. Dougn

LORNA M. DOUYON PRIMARY EXAMINER